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Reaction of ( $S$ )-3-acetoxyamino-2-[1-( $t$-butyldimethylsilyloxy)ethyl]quinazolin-4(3H)-one $\mathbf{5}$ ( $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NHOAc}$ ) with styrene and $\mathrm{R}(\beta)$-substituted $E$-styrenes $\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{2}\right)$ gives the corresponding aziridines diastereoselectively. The diastereoselectivity increases in the same sense from 5:1 $\rightarrow 20: 1$ as the electron-withdrawing character of R increases $\left[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{2}\right]$ but is accompanied by a decrease in yield of aziridine. A similar increase in diastereoselectivity is found in the reaction of 3-acetoxyamino-2-(2,3,3-trimethylpropyl)quinazolin-4( $3 H$ )-one 38 ( $\mathrm{Q}^{4} \mathrm{NHOAc}$ ) with the same $\beta$-substituted styrenes.

An explanation for these observations is offered based on a tighter, more symmetrical transition state for the aziridination of styrenes bearing the more electron-withdrawing $\beta$-substituents and is supported by SCF calculations.

## Introduction

3-Acetoxyaminoquinazolinones $\mathbf{1}$ (QNHOAc) are ambiphilic aziridinating agents for alkenes: they react in comparable yields with alkenes of grossly different electron availability e.g. styrene and $a, \beta$-unsaturated esters (Scheme 1). ${ }^{1}$ In the transition states


$\mathbf{1} \equiv$ QNHOAc
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Scheme 1
(TS"s) for aziridination the planes containing alkene and the Q ring are approximately parallel with an attractive (endo) overlap of the $(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ with the $\pi$-system of the alkene substituent $(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3})$.

However, the mechanism of reaction in TS 2 is thought to differ from that in $\mathrm{TS}^{\#} \mathbf{3}$ : in aziridination of styrene, $\mathrm{TS}^{\#} \mathbf{2}, \mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation is believed to run ahead of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ bond formation ${ }^{2}$ whereas in aziridination of acrylates $3, N-\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ bond formation runs ahead of $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation i.e. the $\mathrm{TS}^{\#}$ takes on some Michael addition character. ${ }^{3}$ In neither case is any intermediate involved i.e. the reactions are concerted but asynchronous. The configurations at the $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridised ${ }^{4}$ NHOAc chiral centres in $\mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ are opposite because attack on this nitrogen is assumed to be $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ in character. Thus the ambiphilicity of QNHOAc arises from its ability to respond to the different electron availability of the alkene by a change in mechanism.

Aziridination with QNHOAc 1 is analogous to epoxidation with peroxyacetic acid but QNHOAc 1 is the more versatile reagent because peroxyacids do not react well with electrondeficient alkenes, i.e. acrylates.

The location of R , the $\mathrm{Q}-2$ substituent, in $\mathrm{TS}^{\#} \mathrm{~S} \mathbf{2}$ and $\mathbf{3}$ means that with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{R}^{*}$, a chiral group, diastereoselective aziridination of prochiral alkenes is feasible. ${ }^{5 a, b}$ The work described in this paper was initiated as a result of the observation that aziridination of $(E)$ - $\beta$-trimethylsilylstyrene with $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NHOAc} 5$, prepared in situ by $N$-acetoxylation of $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2} 4$ with lead tetraacetate (LTA) was more diastereoselective [dr (diastereoisomer ratio) $11: 1]^{6}$ than styrene itself (dr $5: 1$ ) (Scheme 2). ${ }^{7}$

It seemed that the effect of the trimethylsilyl group on the diastereoselectivity must be electronic in origin because in the presumed TS ${ }^{\#} \mathbf{8}$ for aziridination of this alkene, little, if any, steric interaction of the trimethylsilyl group with the $\left(\mathrm{Q}^{1}\right)$-2substituent would be expected. To understand this electronic effect and hence to extend its application to increase diastereoselectivity in aziridination more generally, we have studied the aziridinations of a variety of $\beta$-substituted styrenes with $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NHOAc}$ and the results are summarised in Scheme 3. The only other homogeneous product isolated from these reactions



7 (dr $5: 1$ )

Scheme 2 Reagents: i, $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; ii, $(E)-\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CHSiMe}_{3}$; iii, styrene.


Scheme 3
was the 3 H -quinazolinone $\mathbf{1 4}$, a known decomposition product of $Q^{1}$ NHOAc.

In the reaction of $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NHOAc} 5$ with $(E)-\beta$-methylstyrene, both diastereoisomers of aziridine $\mathbf{9}$ are present as mixtures of N -invertomers by NMR spectroscopy in deuteriochloroform of the crude reaction product. Unravelling of the diastereoisomer ratio present was facilitated after separating the aziridine from unchanged $\beta$-methylstyrene by chromatography and then by partial separation of the diastereoisomers ( $13: 1$ ratio after Kieselgel chromatography). The major diastereoisomer was present as a $1.3: 1(\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{Ph}$ cis : trans) ratio of $N$-invertomers and the minor diastereoisomer as a $2.2: 1$ ratio favouring $\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{Ph}$ trans. This conclusion was arrived at from observation of the chemical shifts of the CHPh aziridine ring protons (Fig. 1): protons cis to the quinazolinone ring have been previously shown to be deshielded relative to those which are trans. ${ }^{8}$







Fig. 1 Invertomer ratios for diastereoisomeric aziridines 9.

Examination of the crude reaction mixture shows that the diastereoisomer ratio for aziridine 9 is $5: 1$. The enhancement in dr from $5: 1 \rightarrow 13: 1$ above is important because it allows a distinction between signals in the NMR spectrum from both N -invertomers of the same diastereoisomer (a ratio which is not affected by chromatography because interconversion is fast on the real timescale in solution) and signals from different diastereoisomers.
Aziridination of $(E)-\beta$-chloromethylstyrene using $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NHOAc}$ 5 and purification of the crude product 10 using a Chromatotron gave the major diastereoisomer as a crystalline solid ( $N$-invertomer ratio 3.5: 1 favouring Q and Ph cis) and the minor diastereoisomer as an oil ( $N$-invertomer ratio 2: 1 favouring Q and $\mathrm{Ph} c i s)$. Re-examination of the crude reaction product showed that the initial diastereoisomer ratio was $10: 1$.

For the ( $E$ )- $\beta$-dichloromethylstyrene-derived aziridine 11, measurement of the diastereoisomer ratio $(20: 1)$ and the aziridine $N$-invertomer ratio (7:1) in the major diastereoisomer was again facilitated when the latter was obtained as a crystalline solid after silica chromatography. An NMR spectrum of the mother liquor from this crystallisation after evaporation showed it was enriched in the minor diastereoisomer of aziridine 11.
Aziridination of $(E)$-stilbene gave a $3: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers of 12. A small sample of the minor diastereoisomer was separated by column chromatography: the major diastereoisomer was obtained as a crystalline solid. Likewise, methyl cinnamate gave a 3:1 ratio of diastereoisomers of aziridine $\mathbf{1 3}$ with the major one separable by chromatography. In neither of these cases $\mathbf{1 2}$ or $\mathbf{1 3}$ was the absolute configuration at the two chiral centres determined.

## Stereostructures of aziridines 6, 7 and 9-11

The stereostructure of the major diastereoisomer of aziridine $\mathbf{6}$ was previously shown to be that having the $2 S, 3 S$ configuration by chemical correlation (Scheme 4) together with an X-ray crys-


16 ( $83 \%$ ee)
Scheme 4 Reagents: i, $\mathrm{KCN}, \mathrm{CsF}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.
tal stucture determination ${ }^{6}$ of the minor diastereoisomer of the related aziridine 15: both of the major diastereoisomers of aziridines $\mathbf{6}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ gave the same product $\mathbf{1 6}$ by the aziridine-azirine-aziridine sequence in Scheme 4. ${ }^{9}$

X-Ray crystal structures ${ }^{7}$ of the major diastereoisomers of aziridines $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ revealed that these also had the same absolute configuration at the 2 -position as 6 . Both crystal structures showed the Q -group cis to the phenyl ring and this is presumably the major $N$-invertomer in solution since the chemical shifts of the PhCH aziridine ring protons in each case are at higher field than those of the corresponding protons in the minor $N$-invertomers (see above).

For determination of the absolute configuration of the major diastereoisomers of styrene- and ( $E$ )- $\beta$-methylstyrene-derived aziridines 7 and 9 , both were converted to the corresponding (Q)-2-(1-hydroxyethyl) compounds $\mathbf{1 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 8}$ by desilylation using tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) (Scheme 5).


Aziridine $\mathbf{1 7}$ was obtained from aziridine 7 (dr $5: 1$ ) as a $5: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers which were separated by Chromatotron chromatography. Similarly, aziridine 9 (dr $5: 1$ ) was converted into aziridine $\mathbf{1 8}$ (dr $5: 1$ ) without any apparent interconversion of diastereoisomers: the major diastereoisomer was separated as a crystalline solid.

Previously we had shown that 3-acetoxyamino-2-(1-hydroxyalkyl)quinazolinones 22-24, prepared in situ by acetoxylation of the corresponding 3-aminoquinazolinones 19-21, react with styrene diastereoselectively in the presence of titanium(IV) tertbutoxide (TTB) to give aziridines $\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{2 5}$ and 26 respectively (Scheme 6). ${ }^{5 a}$ The major diastereoisomer of aziridine 17 pro-


Scheme 6 Reagents: i, LTA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii, $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OBu}^{t}\right)_{4}$, styrene.
duced was identical to the major one obtained by desilylation of aziridine 7 in Scheme 5.

Evidence for the same preferred sense of diastereoselectivity in formation of aziridines $\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{2 5}$ and $\mathbf{2 6}$ came from the excellent correlation in their NMR spectra for the chemical shifts of aziridine ring and RCHOH protons in major and minor diastereoisomers. The absolute configuration for aziridine 26 at the 3-membered ring carbon was shown to be $(S)$ from X-ray crystallography by relating it to the [ $(S)$-tert-leucine-derived] inducing centre in the Q2-substituent. From the correlations above, it follows that the configuration at the phenyl-substituted 3 -membered ring carbon in the major diastereoisomer of aziridine 17 and hence aziridine 7 is also $(S) .^{5 a}$

In this work aziridination of $(E)$ - $\beta$-methylstyrene with $\mathrm{Q}^{2}$ NHOAc 22 was also carried out in the presence of TTB and aziridine $\mathbf{1 8}$ was isolated as a $5: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers (Scheme 7) with the major one identical to the crystalline major


Scheme 7 Reagents: i, $(E)-\mathrm{RCH}=\mathrm{CHPh}_{2}, \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{OBu}^{t}\right)_{4}$; ii, silica chromatography.
diastereoisomer isolated by desilylation of aziridine 9 (Scheme 5). The diastereoisomer of $\mathbf{1 8}$ shown is that which would be formed using our previous $\mathrm{TS}^{\#}$ model for aziridination of styrene (see below). Aziridination of $(E)-\beta$-methylstyrene with $\mathrm{Q}^{3}$ NHOAc 24 in the presence of TTB gave aziridine 27 as a single diastereoisomer from NMR examination of the crude reaction mixture: some aziridine ring-opening to alcohol 29 took place on silica chromatography.

When aziridination of $(E)-\beta$-methylstyrene with $\mathrm{Q}^{3} \mathrm{NHOAc}$ $\mathbf{2 4}$ was carried out in the absence of TTB, the diastereoselectivity was, as expected, much reduced (dr 2:1). After separation of the minor diastereoisomer by chromatography, it was shown to be absent from the crude reaction product 27 by NMR spectroscopy.

Although the identity in configuration at C-2 in aziridines $\mathbf{1 8}$ and 27 could not be confirmed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR correlation used for aziridines 17 and 26 above, it seems very likely from the correspondence in behaviour of $\beta$-methylstyrene and styrene in the aziridinations in Schemes 5-7 that the $\beta$-methyl substituent has virtually no effect upon the stereochemistry of these aziridinations and that the configuration at the aziridine ring chiral centres in 9 is acccordingly $2 S, 3 S$.

The complete diastereoselectivity in aziridination of $(E)-\beta$ methylstyrene with $\mathrm{Q}^{3} \mathrm{NHOAc} 24$ in the presence of TTB to give aziridine 27 deserves some comment when taken in conjunction with our previous observation that aziridination of $\alpha$-methylstyrene under the same conditions gave a $5: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers. ${ }^{5 a}$ Our TS ${ }^{\#}$ model for aziridination of $(E)-\beta$ methylstyrene that leads to diastereoisomer 27 has the phenyl endo and cis to the Q-ring as in $\mathbf{3 0}$. However, the sense of diastereoselectivity would remain unchanged even if some competitive aziridination took place via $\mathrm{TS}^{\#} \mathbf{3 1}$ since the same face of the alkene is being attacked. Attack via TS ${ }^{\#} 31$ seems less likely than via $\mathbf{3 0}$ in any case because of steric interaction between the $N$-acetoxy and tert-butyl groups. Attack via analogous TS ${ }^{\#}$ s 32 and 33 on $\alpha$-methylstyrene, however, would lead to diastereoisomeric aziridines because opposite faces of the alkene would be involved: the $N$-acetoxy and tert-butyl groups would be anti in either case (Scheme 8).

Aziridination of $\beta$-chloromethylstyrene with $\mathrm{Q}^{3} \mathrm{NHOAc} 24$ was also completely diastereoselective giving 28 (Scheme 7).


Prior to obtaining confirmation of the absolute configuration of aziridine $\mathbf{1 0}$ by X-ray crystallography, we had attempted to do this by chemical correlation. Desilylation of the major diastereoisomer by TBAF gave two products in a $1.6: 1$ ratio identified as the aziridine $\mathbf{3 4 a}$ and the imine $\mathbf{3 5}$ (Scheme 9a).

Previously we had prepared a diastereoisomer $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ of aziridine 34a by intramolecular aziridination of the 3-acetoxyaminoquinazolinone 36 (Scheme 9b). ${ }^{4}$

The NMR spectra of these two aziridines $\mathbf{3 4 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ were consistent with their assigned diastereoisomeric relationship particularly in the similarity of chemical shifts and coupling constants for the $\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ unit but in the dissimilarities for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}$ protons arising from their different environments Since both aziridines 34a and 34b have the same absolute configuration for the chiral centre adjacent to the 2-position of the Q-ring they must be epimeric at both aziridine ring chiral centres (aziridination of double bonds by QNHOAc is always stereospecific).

## Aziridination using $Q^{4} \mathbf{N H O A c}^{38}$

We also briefly examined aziridination of some of the $\beta$-substituted styrene derivatives used above with (racemic) $\mathrm{Q}^{4} \mathrm{NHOAc}$ 38 and the results are summarised in Scheme 10. The X-ray crystal structure of the major diastereoisomer of aziridine 40 (Fig. 2) was obtained. The major diastereoisomer of aziridine 41 was obtained as a crystalline solid.


Fig. 2 The molecular structure of $\mathbf{4 0}$ showing the atom label scheme and $30 \%$ displacement probability ellipsoids. H atoms are omitted for clarity.

## Discussion

Aziridination with $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NHOAc} 5$ of styrene and $\beta$-substituted styrenes to give 6, 7, 9-11 all proceed in the same diastereosense to give the same absolute configuration at the phenyl-bearing aziridine $\mathrm{C}-2$ position. As the $\beta$-substituent changes from $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Me}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \longrightarrow \mathrm{CHCl}_{2}$, i.e. becomes more electronwithdrawing, there is an increase in diastereoselectivity but a decrease in yield. Aziridination with $\mathrm{Q}^{4} \mathrm{NHOAc} 38$ shows the same trend of increased diastereoselectivity but reduced yield with the same $\beta$-substituent changes if it is assumed that aziridination takes place in the same diastereosense in each case.

Although the changes in activation energy bringing about the changes in diastereoselectivity in these aziridinations are small, it is the trend of increasing dr with substituent electronegativity which is significant. Our explanation for this trend is based on the assumption that the effect of increasing the electronwithdrawing character of the $\beta$-substituent reduces the extent by which $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation runs ahead of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ in the $\mathrm{TS}^{\#}$ for aziridination of these electron-available alkenes (2 in Scheme 1).
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Scheme 9

Table 1 Energy levels (hartrees) and calculated orbital coefficients of $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ for some of the $\beta$-substituted styrenes used in Scheme 3


|  | HOMO |  |  | LUMO |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| R | E | $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ | E | $\mathrm{C}_{a}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ |
| H | -0.3016 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1794 \\ & 0.1581 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2579 \\ & 0.2344 \end{aligned}$ | 0.1119 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1786 \\ & 0.2993 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2415 \\ & -0.4325 \end{aligned}$ |
| Me | -0.2923 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2058 \\ & 0.1823 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2636 \\ & 0.2421 \end{aligned}$ | 0.1152 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1693 \\ & 0.2910 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2569 \\ & -0.4225 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | $-0.3100$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1625 \\ & 0.1403 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2177 \\ & 0.1937 \end{aligned}$ | 0.1004 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1823 \\ & 0.3038 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2118 \\ & -0.3318 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{CHCl}_{2}$ | $-0.3170$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1518 \\ & 0.1255 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2158 \\ & 0.1986 \end{aligned}$ | 0.0940 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1943 \\ & 0.3237 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2098 \\ & -0.3260 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{SiH}_{3}$ | $-0.3064$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1727 \\ & 0.1490 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2587 \\ & 0.2388 \end{aligned}$ | 0.0913 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2238 \\ & 0.3590 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.2214 \\ & -0.3616 \end{aligned}$ |



Scheme 10 Reagents: i, LTA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{ii},(E)-\mathrm{RCH}=\mathrm{CHPh}$.

Using ab initio SCF calculations and a double zeta basis set (6-319*), the orbital coefficients at $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$ and HOMO and LUMO energy levels for some of the $\beta$-substituted styrenes used in Scheme 3 were calculated (Table 1).

According to our mechanism for electron-available alkenes $^{2}$ the aziridination involves overlap of $\mathrm{HOMO}_{\text {alkene }}$ and $\mathrm{LUMO}_{\mathrm{Q}^{\prime} \text { NHOAc }}$ (the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{OAc} \sigma^{*}$ orbital) and $\mathrm{LUMO}_{\text {alkene }}$ with $\mathrm{HOMO}_{\mathrm{Q}^{\prime} \text { NHOAc }}$ (the NHOAc lone pair) with the ordering of HOMO and LUMO levels resembling that in Fig. 3 for styrene.

Since the aziridination is primarily electrophilic attack by $Q^{1}$ NHOAc, we have chosen LUMO ( $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NHOAc}$ ) and HOMO (alkene) for the reaction scheme, a choice illustrated by the fact that $\mathrm{LUMO}_{\text {Q'NHOAc }-\mathrm{HOMO}_{\text {alkene }} \text { overlap is larger than }}$ $\mathrm{LUMO}_{\text {alkene }}-\mathrm{HOMO}_{\text {Q'NHOAc }^{\prime}}$ overlap, in Fig. 3.

It is clear from the Table that the effect of increasing the electron-withdrawing character of the $\beta$-substituent from $\mathrm{H} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow \mathrm{CHCl}_{2}$ is to lower both the HOMO and LUMO levels of the alkene which increasingly favours $\mathrm{LUMO}_{\text {alkene }}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{HOMO}_{\mathrm{Q}^{\prime} \mathrm{NHOAc}}$ overlap.


Fig. 3
In addition, as the electron-withdrawing character of the $\beta$-substituent increases, there is in the $\mathrm{LUMO}_{\text {alkene }}$ a progressive increase in the magnitude of the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ coefficient and a corresponding decrease in the $\mathrm{C}_{\beta}$-coefficient that will increasingly favour bond making at the $\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$-position. The same effects, moreover, are brought about by $\beta$-substitution of $\mathrm{SiH}_{3}$ in styrene (as a model for $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ) (Table).

Overall, the effect of favouring increased $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}_{\alpha}$ bond formation in the $\mathrm{TS}^{\#}$ will be to make it more symmetrical and tighter with formation of the two 3-membered ring bonds more but not completely synchronous. An increase in diastereoselectivity is expected, i.e. in formation of aziridine 11, because of the increased site selectivity of the three substituents on the inducing Q2-chiral centre. Thus using our previous TS ${ }^{\#}$ model for this aziridination, ${ }^{2} 42$ will become favoured over 43 because of the augmented interaction between $\mathrm{H}_{\beta}$ and CHMeOSi in the latter.


There is in $\mathrm{TS}^{\#} \mathbf{4 2}$, a stereoelectronic effect which favours the conformation around the Q2-C bond shown with the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond at the chiral centre almost in the plane of the Q ring and
the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Si}$ bond orthogonal to this plane: ${ }^{10}$ the two methyl groups on silicon then direct the methyl and hydrogen on the chiral centre to the sites shown. It is this stereoelectronic effect which is responsible for the superior levels of diastereoselectivity using $Q^{1}$ NHOAc 5 over $Q^{4} \mathrm{NHOAc}^{38}$ in spite of the better size differentiation in the substituents on the inducing chiral centre in $\mathrm{Q}^{4}$ NHOAc 38.

The progressive decrease in yield with increasing electronwithdrawal by the $\beta$-substituent in Schemes 3 and 10 is a reflection of the inherent electrophilic character of the QNHOAc species: only in TS ${ }^{\#} \mathbf{3}$ with back donation by the oxygen of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester carbonyl is the nucleophilic reactivity of the QNHOAc brought to the fore in Michael addition to the double bond.

## Experimental

For general experimental details, see ref. ${ }^{11}$. Unless otherwise indicated, NMR spectra were run at 250 MHz in deuteriochloroform solutions with tetramethylsilane as internal standard. ( $E$ )- $\beta$-Dichloromethylstyrene was prepared by the literature method ${ }^{12}$ and routinely crystallised from light petroleum before use. All calculations were carried out using the standard facilities provided by Gaussian $941 .{ }^{13}$

## General procedure 1 for aziridination

The 3-aminoquinazolinone $\mathrm{QNH}_{2}$ (1 eq.) and acetic acid-free lead tetraacetate (LTA) ( 1.1 eq. ) were added alternately and continuously in very small portions over 15 min . to a vigorously stirred solution of dry dichloromethane $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right.$ per 100 mg of $\mathrm{QNH}_{2}$ ) cooled with a dry ice-acetone bath held at -20 to $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for a further 5 min to give a solution of the 3 -acetoxyaminoquinazolinone, a solution of the alkene (1.5-3.5 eq.) in dichloromethane ( $500 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{QNH}_{2}$ per $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added and then the temperature of the solution was allowed to rise to ambient stirring throughout. Lead diacetate was separated and (on a $500 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{QNH}_{2}$ scale) washed with dichloromethane ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) the organic solution washed successively with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate ( $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) and water $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried with magnesium sulfate and the solvent removed by evaporation under reduced pressure.

## General procedure $\mathbf{2}$ for aziridination in the presence of HMDS

A solution of 3-acetoxyaminoquinazolinone at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was prepared as described above, separated from lead di-acetate at this temperature (on a small scale a Pasteur pipette can be used) and the cold solution added dropwise over 2 min . to a stirred solution of the alkene (1.5-3.5 eq.) in dichloromethane $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /\right.$ 500 mg ) containing HMDS (2-3 eq.) maintained at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature). The temperature of the stirred solution was then allowed to rise to ambient and the reaction worked-up as described above.

## General procedure 3 for aziridination in the presence of titanium(IV) tert-butoxide (TTB)

A cold $\left(-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of 3-acetoxyaminoquinazolinone freed from lead diacetate prepared as described above was added dropwise over 2 min to a stirred solution of the alkene (1.5-3.5 eq.) in dichloromethane ( $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ per $500 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{QNH}_{2}$ ) containing TTB (2 eq.) maintained at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature). After 5 min the cooling bath was removed and the temperature of the stirred solution was allowed to rise to ambient. The reaction mixture was then poured into saturated hydrogen carbonate solution, the gelatinous precipitate produced filtered off (Celite), the organic layer separated, washed with brine, dried and solvent evaporated under reduced pressure.

## Aziridination of styrene with $\mathbf{Q}^{1}$ NHOAc 5

General procedure 2 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2} 4^{9}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.63 \mathrm{mmol})$, LTA ( $0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HMDS $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.25$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and styrene $(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. After work-up, examination of the residue by NMR spectroscopy indicated two diastereoisomers of aziridine 7 were present in a 5:1 ratio from integral comparison of signals at $\delta 2.71$ and 2.65 ppm respectively and comparison with previously reported spectra. ${ }^{4}$

## Aziridination of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-methylstyrene with $\mathbf{Q}^{1}$ NHOAc 5

General procedure 2 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2} 4^{9}(1 \mathrm{~g}$, $3.13 \mathrm{mmol})$, LTA ( $1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 3.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\operatorname{HMDS}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\beta$-methylstyrene ( $0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 6.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The crude product was chromatographed over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(10: 1)$ as eluent to give a mixture of aziridine 9 diastereoisomers ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.30$ ) as a colourless oil $(0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%)$. (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$435.234. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $M 435.234) v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1675 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%) 435 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6\right), 247$ (72), 132 (100) and 73 (30); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ ( $\mathrm{d}_{5}$-pyridine) (mixture of $N$-invertomers) major $N$-invertomer (Ph and $\mathrm{Q}^{1}$ cis) $0.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.06\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.68\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 0.98\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.0\right.$, azir. $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.52$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.2, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 2.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 6.0$ and 5.0 , azir. $\mathrm{H}-3)$, $3.51\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.0\right.$, azir. H-2), $5.18\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.2, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$, $6.99-7.67[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and CHPh$]$ and $8.20[1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 8.0$ and $1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$; minor $N$-invertomer (observable signals) $\delta 1.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.0\right.$, azir. $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.64(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.2$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 5.6$ and 5.0 , azir. $\mathrm{H}-3), 4.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 5.6$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-2), 5.47\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.2, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$ and $8.28[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 8.0,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$. From integral comparison of signals at $\delta 5.18$ and 5.47, the $N$-invertomer ratio was $1.3: 1$; minor diastereoisomer (mixture of $N$-invertomers) major $N$-invertomer (phenyl and $\mathrm{Q}^{1}$ trans); (observable signals) $\delta 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 5.0$ and 6.0 , azir. H-3), $4.06(1 \mathrm{H}$, br d, $J 5$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-2)$ and $5.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.2$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ ); minor $N$-invertomer (observable signal) $\delta 3.47(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J 5.6$, azir. H-2). From integral comparison of signals at $\delta 4.06$ and 3.47, the $N$-invertomer ratio was $\sim 2: 1$. The ratio of diastereoisomers present was $5: 1$ from integral comparison of signals at $\delta 5.18+5.47: 4.06+3.47$ in the crude reaction product.

Kieselgel chromatography of the $5: 1$ ratio of diastereoisomers with light petroleum-ethyl acetate as eluent gave a fraction containing the two diastereoisomers in a $13: 1$ ratio from integral comparison of signals inter alia at $\delta 5.18+$ 5.47 : $4.06+3.47$.

## Aziridination of ( $E$ )-cinnamyl chloride with $\mathbf{Q}^{1}$ NHOAc 5

General procedure 2 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathbf{4}^{9}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.13$ mmol), LTA ( $1.53 \mathrm{~g}, 3.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HMDS ( $1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 9.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and cinnamyl chloride ( $1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 9.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane $\left(15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. Chromatography of the product over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(15: 1)$ as eluent gave the major aziridine diastereoisomer $\mathbf{1 0}\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.29\right)$ as an oil which solidified after trituration with light petroleum and crystallised as a colourless solid ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 51 \%$ ) $\mathrm{mp} 119-121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol). $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-378\left(c=0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ (Found: C, 63.5; H, 6.9; $\mathrm{N}, 8.9 . \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 63.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.85 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.95 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ (mixture of $N$-invertomers) major $N$-invertomer (phenyl and $\mathrm{Q}^{1}$ cis): $0.09\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.11$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.70\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 0.88\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$, $3.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11.5$ and $7.1, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{HCl}), 3.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.9$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-2), 4.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-3), 4.48(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 11.5$ and 3.9 , $\mathrm{CHHCl}), 5.05\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 6.80-7.62[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$, $7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.24[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.5$ and 0.6 , $5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ ]; minor $N$-invertomer (observable signals) $\delta 1.43(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J 6.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ ), $3.24(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-3)$, $3.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11.9$ and 7.5 CHHCl$), 4.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.6$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-2), 5.43(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}$,
$\left.J 6.4, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$ and $8.18[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.9,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$. From integral comparison of the signals at $\delta 4.48$ and 4.54 , the ratio of major : minor $N$-invertomers was $3.5: 1 ; v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2950 \mathrm{~m}, 2860 \mathrm{~m}$, 1675 s and $1600 \mathrm{~m} ; m / z(\%) 469\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 247$ (68), 166 (44), 130 (51), 117 (32), 103 (48), 91 (30), 77 (55), 75 (55), 73 (100) and 57 (23). A crystal of aziridine $\mathbf{1 0}$ suitable for X-ray crystallography was obtained by crystallisation from ethanol.

Repetition of reaction above and chromatography of the crude product using a Chromatotron with light petroleumethyl acetate $(15: 1)$ as eluent, gave a pure sample of minor aziridine 10 diastereoisomer $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.29\right)$ as a colourless oil. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $54\left(c=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$469.195. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $M 469.195$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of $N$-invertomers) major $N$-invertomer (phenyl and Q cis) $-0.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right)$, $-0.01\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.83\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right), 1.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.1$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 3.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.9$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-2), 3.77(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11.5$ and $7.5, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{HCl}), 4.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11.5$ and $3.9, \mathrm{CH} H \mathrm{Cl}), 4.40$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\right.$, azir. H-3), $4.95\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 6.99-7.66[8 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.22[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.5$, $5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$; minor $N$-invertomer (observable signals) $\delta 0.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.04\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.85\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right), 1.63$ $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 3.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-3), 3.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 11.5$ and $7.5, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{HCl}), 4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.9$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-2), 5.38(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{q}, J 6.1, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$ and $8.19[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.5,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$. From integral comparison of the signals at $\delta 4.33$ and 4.65 , the ratio of major : minor $N$-invertomers was $2: 1$; an NMR spectrum ( 400 MHz ) of the crude product above showed the ratio of major : minor aziridine diastereoisomers of $\mathbf{1 0}$ was $10: 1$ from integral comparison of signals at $\delta 4.48+4.54: 4.33+4.65$ $\mathrm{ppm} ; m / z(\%) 469\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.7\right), 412$ (34), 247 (100), 166 (25), 130 (20), 129 (24), 117 (22) and 73 (27).

## Aziridination of (E)- $\beta$-dichloromethylstyrene with Q $^{1}$ NHOAc 5

General procedure 2 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2} 4^{9}(0.4 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, LTA $(0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol})$, HMDS $(0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 3.76$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\beta$-dichloromethylstyrene $(0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 3.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. Flash chromatography of the product over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(15: 1)$ as eluent gave the major aziridine $\mathbf{1 1}$ diastereoisomer $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.29\right)$ as a colourless solid $(0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%) \mathrm{mp} 130-132{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol), $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-366\left(c=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ (Found: C, 59.5; H, 6.25; N, 8.4. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ requires C, $59.5 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.2 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.35 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of N -invertomers) major N -invertomer (phenyl and Q cis) $0.00\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.70(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{Bu}^{t}\right), 0.83\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 3.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.4$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-2), 4.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.4$ and 3.8 , azir. $\mathrm{H}-3), 4.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.3$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 6.39\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{2}\right), 6.90-7.70[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{C} H(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.13[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.8$ and 1 , $5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 2950 \mathrm{~m}, 3060 \mathrm{~m}, 2860 \mathrm{~m}, 1670 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ;$ $m / z(\%) 503\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.2\right), 446(26), 247$ (100), 164 (26), 130 (24), 75 (34) and 73 (65); minor $N$-invertomer (observable signals) $\delta 1.58$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ ), $3.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.4$ and 3.8 , azir. H-3), $5.63\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 5.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.8$, azir. H-2) and $6.53\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{2}\right)$. From integral comparison of the signals at $\delta 4.98$ and 5.63 , the ratio of major : minor $N$-invertomers was $7: 1$; minor diastereoisomer (observable signal) $\delta 3.86(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.4$, azir. H-2). Examination of the NMR spectrum of the crude product showed the ratio of major : minor diastereoisomers of aziridine 11 was $20: 1$ from comparison of the signals at $\delta 3.96$ and 3.86 ppm . A crystal of aziridine $\mathbf{1 1}$ suitable for X-ray crystallography was grown by crystallisation from ethanol.

## Identification of the major diastereoisomer of aziridine 7: desilylation of aziridine 7

To a solution of the crude aziridine 7 prepared as described above $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) in THF $(1 \mathrm{M}, 0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the mixture stirred for 30 min at
$0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then at room temp. for 15 min . The reaction mixture was poured into ether $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, the solution washed with water $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, brine $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried and then evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow oil whose NMR spectrum showed the presence of two aziridine $\mathbf{1 7}$ diastereoisomers in a ratio 5:1 from integral comparison of signals at $\delta 2.75$ and 2.65 ppm respectively. This mixture was separated using a Chromatotron and eluting with light petroleum-ethyl acetate (5:1) to give the major aziridine $\mathbf{1 7}$ diastereoisomer $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.18\right)$ as a colourless oil $(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 69 \%) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=320\left(c=0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$307.132. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\left.M 307.132\right)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.51(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J 6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 2.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.0$ and 1.9 , azir. H-3 trans to $\left.\mathrm{Q}^{1}\right), 3.70\left(1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, dd, $J 7.9$ and 1.9 , azir. $\mathrm{H}-3$ cis to $\left.\mathrm{Q}^{1}\right), 4.03(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 7.9$ and 5.0, azir. H-2), $4.49\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right)$, $5.15\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 7.6\right.$ and $\left.6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 7.30-7.78[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.22[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.9$ and 1 , $5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1670 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 307\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 31\right), 264$ (40), 262 (64), 216 (63), 201 (27), 189 (60), 175 (20), 173 (100), 172 (81), 147 (26), 130 (27), 119 (33), 118 (74), 117 (66), 103 (61), 91 (77), 90 (42), 84 (20) and 76 (34).

Further elution with light petroleum-ethyl acetate (5:1) gave the minor aziridine $\mathbf{1 7}$ diastereoisomer also as a colourless oil $(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%) .[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-94\left(c=0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+} 307.132$. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\left.M 307.132\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.50(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.3$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 2.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 5.0$ and 1.9 , azir. $\mathrm{H}-3$ trans to Q$)$, $3.49(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, J 7.9 and 1.9 , azir. $\mathrm{H}-3$ cis to Q), $4.46(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 7.9$ and 5.0 , azir. $\mathrm{H}-2$ and d, $\left.J 7.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 5.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}$, $J 7.6$ and $\left.6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 7.38-7.78[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.21[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.9$ and $1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; m / z(\%)$ $307\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 22\right), 264$ (25), 262 (38), 216 (77), 201 (29), 189 (39), 174 (21), 173 (73), 172 (58), 134 (72), 130 (21), 119 (56), 118 (49), 115 (36), 105 (55), 104 (26), 103 (56) 92 (71), 91 (100), 90 (31), 78 (37), 77 (42) and 76 (25).

## Aziridination of styrene with $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H O A c} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{T T B}$

General procedure 3 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathbf{1 9}^{5 b}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$, LTA $(0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ and added to a stirred solution of TTB $(0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ and styrene $(0.20 \mathrm{~g}, 1.95$ mmol) in dry dichloromethane $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ maintained at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (bath temperature). After work-up, examination of the residue by NMR spectroscopy showed the two aziridine 17 diastereoisomers $(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \%)$ were present in a $6.5: 1$ ratio from integral comparison of signals at $\delta 2.75$ and 2.65 ppm respectively in its NMR spectrum. These major and minor aziridine diastereoisomers were shown to be identical to the major and minor diastereoisomers isolated in the previous experiment by comparison of their NMR spectra.

## Identification of the major diastereoisomer of aziridine 9 : desilylation of aziridine 9

To a stirred solution of the aziridine $7(\mathrm{dr} 5: 1)(0.25 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of TBAF in THF $(1 \mathrm{M}, 0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the mixture stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then at room temp. for 15 min . The reaction mixture was poured into ether $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, the solution washed with water $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, then brine $\left(10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a colourless oil. Flash chromatography of the oil over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(10: 1)$ as eluent gave a mixture of aziridine $18\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.34\right)$ as an oil $(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%)$ together with unchanged aziridine $9(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%)$. Trituration of the oil with light petroleum gave the major aziridine diastereoisomer $\mathbf{1 8}$ as a colourless solid $\mathrm{mp} 127-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol). $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-59(c=$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) (Found: C, 71.0; H, 5.95; N, 13.1. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 71.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.0 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.15 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of $N$ invertomers) major $N$-invertomer (phenyl and Q trans) 1.42 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.0, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 1.45\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6\right.$, azir. $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.08$ ( 1 H , dq, $J 6.6$ and 5.0, azir. H-3), 3.97 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.0$, azir. H-2), $4.63\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 6.3$ and 6.0 ,
$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 6.95-7.78$ [8H, m, $6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\left.\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})\right]$ and $8.23[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.9$ and $1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$; minor $N$-invertomer (observable signals) $\delta 1.31\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6\right.$, azir. $\left.\mathrm{C} H_{3}\right), 1.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J 6.0, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 3.60\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.0, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 4.28(1 \mathrm{H}$, m , azir. $\mathrm{H}-3), 4.92\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOH}\right)$ and $8.12[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.9$, $5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$. From integral comparison of signals at $\delta 4.63$ and 3.60, the $N$-invertomer ratio of the major aziridine diastereoisomer was 2.7: 1; $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1690 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{m} / z(\%) 321\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, 5), 132 (100) and 118 (20). Observable signals from the minor diastereoisomer in the crude reaction product were present for major $N$-invertomer (phenyl and Q trans) at $\delta 4.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.0$, azir. H-2) and for the minor $N$-invertomer at $3.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.0$, azir. H-2) respectively with the ratio of $N$-invertomers $1.9: 1$ from comparison of these two signals. The NMR spectrum of the crude product showed the ratio of major : minor diastereoisomers was $5: 1$ from integral comparison of signals at $\delta 4.63+3.60: 4.15+3.50 \mathrm{ppm}$.

## Aziridination of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-methylstyrene with $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N H O A c} 22$-TTB

General procedure 3 was followed using $Q^{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathbf{1 9}^{14}(0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 1.95$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, LTA $(0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 2.15 \mathrm{mmol})$, TTB $(1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\beta$-methylstyrene ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane $\left(6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. An NMR spectrum of the crude product showed two aziridine diastereoisomers present in a $5: 1$ ratio which were identical to the major and minor aziridines 18 respectively in the crude reaction product from the previous experiment.

## Aziridination of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-methylstyrene with $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{N H O A c}$ 24-TTB

General procedure 3 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathbf{2 1}^{5 a}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.81 \mathrm{mmol})$, LTA ( $0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TTB ( $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\beta$-methylstyrene ( $0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. An NMR spectrum of the crude product indicated only one aziridine diastereoisomer was present and, after trituration with ethanol, some of this aziridine crystallised out; a further quantity was obtained by Chromatotron chromatography with light petroleum-ethyl acetate as eluent ( $5: 1$ ) to give aziridine 27 ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.27$ ) as a colourless solid (total 0.14 g , $49 \%) \mathrm{mp} 157-158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol). $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=60\left(c=0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ (Found: $\mathrm{C}, 72.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.95 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.4 . \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 72.7$; $\mathrm{H}, 6.95 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.55 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of $N$-invertomers) major $N$-invertomer (phenyl and Q trans): $0.68\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 1.32(3 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J 5.4$, azir. $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-3$ and $\mathrm{H}-2), 3.64(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $\left.J 10.4, \mathrm{Bu}^{t} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 4.70\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.4, \mathrm{Bu}^{i} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 7.26$ $7.70[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.20[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 8.5$ and $1.3,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1765 \mathrm{w}, 1680 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1590 \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%) $364\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}, 63\right), 307(20), 154$ (100), 137 (52), 136 (71) and 132 (40); signals from the minor invertomer were observable at $\delta 0.93\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 1.65\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.4\right.$, azir. $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The ratio of $N$-invertomers was 3.6:1 from comparison of signals at $\delta 0.68$ and 0.93 .

Further elution with light petroleum-ethyl acetate (5: 1) (Chromatotron) gave an oily ring-opened alcohol $29(0.035 \mathrm{~g}$, $11 \%$ ). (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+} 382.213 . \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $M 382.213$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.86\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6, \mathrm{NHCHCH}_{3}\right), 1.03\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J 6.6, \mathrm{CHOHPh}), 3.62\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.1, \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 3.71$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right), 4.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 6.6$ and $6.0, \mathrm{CHOHPh}), 5.28$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.1, \mathrm{Bu}^{i} \mathrm{CHOH}$ ), $6.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 2.5, \mathrm{~N} H), 7.33-7.82$ $[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.28[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.9$ and $1.6,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3500 \mathrm{~m}, 1680 \mathrm{~s}, 1610 \mathrm{w}, 1595 \mathrm{~s}$ and 1565s; $m / z(\%) 382$ ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.4$ ), 274 (100), 256 (56), 215 (68), 176 (29), 175 (31) and 147 (22).

The above experiment was repeated, but in the absence of TTB. General procedure 1 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathbf{2 1}^{5 a}$ $(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol})$, LTA $(0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\beta$-methylstyrene $(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane ( $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). Examination of the NMR spectrum of the crude product showed two aziridine diastereoisomers were present in a $1.6: 1$ ratio from integration of signals at $\delta 4.70: 4.95+4.63$ with the major one identical to that isolated above. Chromatotron chromatography
of the crude product with light petroleum-ethyl acetate as eluent (5:1) gave the minor diastereoisomer of aziridine $\mathbf{2 7}\left(R_{\mathrm{f}}\right.$ 0.42 ) as a colourless oil $(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%)$. (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+} 363.194$. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $M 363.194$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ major invertomer (phenyl and Q trans): $1.05\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 1.33\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.4\right.$, azir. $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $2.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dq}, J 5.4$ and 5.4 , azir. H-3), $3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.4$, $\left.\mathrm{Bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 3.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.4$, azir. H-2), $4.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.4$, $\left.\mathrm{Bu}^{t} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 6.91-7.76[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.23[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.5,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; m / z(\%) 363\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.7\right), 132$ (100), 105 (29) and 83 (40); signals from the minor invertomer were observable at $\delta 0.93\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{1}\right), 1.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.4$, azir. $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.78\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.8, \mathrm{Bu}^{i} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 3.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.0$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-2)$ and $4.63\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 8.8, \mathrm{Bu}^{t} \mathrm{CHOH}\right)$. The ratio of $N$-invertomers was 2.7:1 from comparison of signals at $\delta 4.95$ and 4.63. Signals from this aziridine were absent from the spectrum of the crude product in the aziridination as above carried out in the presence of TTB.

Further elution with light petroleum-ethyl acetate (5:1) gave the major aziridine diastereoisomer of $27\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.31\right)$ as a colourless solid $(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \%)$ identical with that isolated previously.

## Aziridination of ( $E$ )-cinnamyl chloride with Q $^{3}$ NHOAc 24-TTB

General procedure 3 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathbf{2 1}^{5 a}(0.3 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.21 \mathrm{mmol})$, LTA $(0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 1.33 \mathrm{mmol})$, TTB $(0.82 \mathrm{~g}, 2.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cinnamyl chloride ( $0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 2.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane ( $5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). Crystallisation of the product by addition of ethanol gave aziridine $28\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.29\right)$ as a colourless solid $(0.22 \mathrm{~g}$, $46 \%) \mathrm{mp} 149-151{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol). $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=+190(c=$ $0.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) (Found: C, 66.15; H, 6.1; N, 10.35. $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, $66.4 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.1 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.55 \%$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ (mixture of $N$-invertomers) major $N$-invertomer (phenyl and Q cis): 0.75 ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}$ ), $3.29(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11.3$ and $9.4, \mathrm{CHHCl}), 3.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-3)$, $3.57\left(1 \mathrm{H}, J 10.4, \mathrm{Bu}^{t} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 3.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.0$, azir. H-2), 4.30 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11.3$ and $4.7, \mathrm{CHHCl}), 4.81(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 10.4$, $\left.\mathrm{Bu}^{i} \mathrm{CHOH}\right), 7.0-7.80[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.25[1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 7.9$ and $1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1660 \mathrm{~s}$ and 1590s; m/z (\%) 398 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1.1$ ), 348 (73), 312 (61), 214 (22), 189 (33), 176 (24), 175 (61), 168 (24), 166 (67), 147 (20), 132 (28), 130 (100), 117 (65), 116 (30), 115 (20), 104 (44), 103 (83), 77 (32), 76 (25) and 57 (33); minor $N$-invertomer (observable signals): $\delta 1.00\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 3.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.0$, azir. H-2), 4.50 $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{br}, \mathrm{Bu}^{t} \mathrm{CHOH}\right)$ and $8.02[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.9$ and $1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$; from integration comparison of the signals at $\delta 8.25: 8.02$ the ratio of $N$-invertomers was $4: 1$.

## Desilylation of aziridine 10

To a stirred solution of aziridine $\mathbf{1 0}(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $\left(1 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of TBAF in THF $(1 \mathrm{M}$, $0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then at room temp. for 15 min . The reaction mixture was poured into ether $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, the solution washed with water $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, then brine $\left(5 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried and concentrated under reduced pressure to give a colourless oil whose NMR spectrum showed the presence of aziridine ether 34a and imine 35 in a 1.6:1 ratio from integration comparison of signals at $\delta 8.11$ and 8.37 ppm respectively (see below). Flash chromatography of the product over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $2: 1$ ) as eluent gave an oil $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.53\right)(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%)$. Trituration of this oil with light petroleum gave the cyclic aziridine ether 34a as a colourless solid, $\mathrm{mp} 171-173^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol). $[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-104$ ( $c=0.8, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) (Found: C, 71.2; H, 5.5; N, 13.1. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, $71.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.35 ; \mathrm{N}, 13.15 \%)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 1.84(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.2$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ ), 3.11 ( 1 H , ddd, $J$ 10.7, 5.3 and 3.5 , azir. H-3), 3.27 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.3$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-2), 3.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.5$ and $10.7, \mathrm{C} H \mathrm{H})$, $4.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.5$ and $3.5, \mathrm{CH} H), 5.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 7.2$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 7.22-7.62[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.11[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 8.2,1.6$ and $0.6,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; v_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1670 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1590 \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%) 319\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 23\right), 216(89), 215(100), 214$ (27), 188 (21), 187 (27), 173 (93), 156 (20), 130 (21), 117 (60), 115
(34), 104 (20), 103 (21), 102 (25), 90 (20), 84 (25) and 77 (24). For comparison, signals of the other diastereoisomer $\mathbf{3 4 b}$ are found at $\delta 1.75\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.0, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 3.25(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 13.1$, 5.3 and 3.6 , azir. H-3), $3.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.3$, azir. H-2), $3.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 13.5$ and $c a .13, \mathrm{CHH}), 4.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 13.1$ and $3.6, \mathrm{CH} H), 5.6$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J$ ca. $7, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ ), $7.4-7.75[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.25[1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J 7.7$ and $c a .1,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] .^{4}$

Further elution of the column using the same solvent mixture gave imine 35 as a colourless oil ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.37$ ) ( $0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%$ ). (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+} 319.132$. $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $M 319.132$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ : 1.67 $\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 2.85\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 3.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\right), 5.06\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 7.22-7.77[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$, $7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.37[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 7.9$ and $1.3,5-$ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 17.12\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 30.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 33.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 64.1$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 121.3[\mathrm{CCO}(\mathrm{Q})], 125.9-133.3[4 \times \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $5 \times$ $C H(P h)], 138.8[C(\mathrm{Ph})], 144.8[C=\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q})], 145.2,155.6,157.4$ $[C-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Q}), \mathrm{N}=C(\mathrm{Ph})$ and $C \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{Q})] ; m / z(\%) 319\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 68\right), 215(26)$, 186 (20), 173 (27), 131 (41), 104 (43), 85 (91), 77 (44), 69 (41) and 57 (100).

## Aziridination of stilbene with $\mathbf{Q}^{1}$ NHOAc 5

General procedure 2 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2} 4^{9}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.63$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, LTA $(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\operatorname{HMDS}(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ and styrene ( $0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane ( $3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). Flash chromatography of the crude product over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate $(5: 1)$ as eluent gave a pure sample of the minor aziridine $\mathbf{1 2}$ diastereoisomer $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.56\right)$ as a colourless oil $(0.007 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \%)$. $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}-0.20\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.95\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 1.84\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$, $3.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6$, azir. H-2 trans to Q), $4.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6$, azir. H-3 cis to Q), $4.95\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 7.20-7.84[13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}$, $7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.16[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.8,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$.
Further elution with the same solvent mixture gave major aziridine 12 diastereoisomer ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.48$ ) as an oil which, on trituration with light petroleum, gave a colourless crystalline product $(0.053 \mathrm{~g}, 34 \%) .[a]_{\mathrm{D}}=-599\left(c=0.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left(\right.$ Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ 497.250. $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $M 497.250$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.02\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}\right)_{3}\right), 0.69\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right), 0.81$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ ), $3.69(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.0$, azir. H-3 trans to Q), $4.61(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.0$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-2$ cis to Q), $5.07(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.3$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right), 6.86-7.55[13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{C} H(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.14[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.8,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$. From the NMR spectrum of the crude product the ratio of major : minor diastereoisomers was $3: 1$ from integration of signals at $\delta 5.07$ and 4.95 ppm . $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1660 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1600 \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{m} / z(\%) 497\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.7\right), 247(47), 195$ (15) and 194 (100).

## Aziridination of methyl cinnamate with Q $^{1}$ NHOAc 5

General procedure 1 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{1} \mathrm{NH}_{2} 4^{9}(0.3 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.94 mmol ), LTA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl cinnamate $(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 1.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane $\left(4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The NMR spectrum of the crude product revealed the presence of diastereoisomers of aziridine $13(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 33 \%)$ together with 3 H -quinazolinone 14 in a $3: 1$ ratio, from integration of signals at $\delta 4.00+3.96 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 9.28 respectively. Separation by flash chromatography over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate (7:1) as eluent gave major aziridine $\mathbf{1 3}$ diastereoisomer $\left(R_{\mathrm{f}}\right.$ 0.32 ) as a colourless oil. (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+} 479.234 . \mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ requires $M 479.224)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}-0.11\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.00(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.70\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 1.24\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$, $3.51\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.7$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-3$ or 2$), 4.00$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.7\right.$, azir. H-2 or 3 ), $5.03\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$, $7.25-7.55[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{C} H(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and 7.98 $[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.9,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1760 \mathrm{~s}, 1710 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1625 \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%) $479\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 422$ (29), 248 (27), 247 (100) and 75 (22); observable signals from the minor diastereoisomer were present in the crude reaction product at $\delta-0.18\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Si}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right),-0.12\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{3}\right), 0.55\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\dagger}\right), 1.62(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.J 6.3, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$ and $3.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 4.7$, azir. H-3 or 2$)$. The

NMR spectrum of the crude product showed the ratio of major : minor diastereoisomers was 3:1 from integration of signals at $\delta 4.00$ and 3.96 ppm .

## Aziridination of $(E)$-cinnamyl chloride with $\mathbf{Q}^{4}{ }^{\mathbf{N} H O A c} 38$

General procedure 2 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2} 3^{5 b}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.82 mmol ), LTA ( $0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HMDS ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 1.63$ mmol ) and cinnamyl chloride ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 1.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. Flash chromatography of the product over silica with light petroleum-ethyl acetate ( $12: 1$ ) as eluent gave a mixture of the aziridine 40 as a mixture of diastereoisomers ( $R_{\mathrm{f}} 0.53$ ) as a colourless oil ( $0.21 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ ) which crystallised after standing in the freezer ( $4-5$ days); the major aziridine 40 diastereoisomer was obtained on addition of ethanol as a colourless solid, $\mathrm{mp} 94-96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol). (Found: C, 69.6; $\mathrm{H}, 6.75 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.45 . \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ requires C, $69.75 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.6$; $\mathrm{N}, 10.6 \%)$; $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.36\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHBu}^{t}\right), 0.88\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 3.02$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.9, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHBu}^{1}\right), 3.73(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 11.3$ and 3.7 , CHHCl), 3.78 ( 1 H , d, $J 5.6$, azir. H-2), 3.96 ( 1 H , ddd, $J 7.5,5.6$ and 3.7, azir. H-3), $4.52(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 11.3$ and $7.5, \mathrm{CHHCl}), 6.85-$ $7.62[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.25[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J 7.9$ and $1.3,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1665 \mathrm{~s}$ and $1590 \mathrm{~s} ; m / z(\%) 395$ $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 9\right), 347$ (25), 346 (100), 339 (21), 175 (42), 174 (46), 173 (23), 166 (41), 131 (34), 130 (66), 121 (26), 119 (40), 117 (62), 116 (28), 103 (29), 82 (26), 77 (26), 57 (33) and 51 (37). Observable signals from the minor diastereoisomer of aziridine 40 in the NMR spectrum of the crude reaction product were present at $\delta 0.73\left(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 1.03\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.9 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHBu}^{\prime}\right), 4.33(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J 11.3$ and $3.7, \mathrm{CHHCl})$ and $8.21[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 7.9,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})]$. The NMR spectrum of the crude product showed the ratio of major : minor diastereoisomers present was $2: 1$ from integration of signals at $\delta 4.52$ and 4.33 ppm . An X-ray structure determination was carried out for the major diastereoisomer of aziridine 40 on a crystal obtained from ethanol (see Fig. 2).

## Aziridination of ( $E$ )- $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-dichloromethylstyrene with $\mathbf{Q}^{4} \mathbf{N H O A c} 38$

General procedure 2 was followed using $\mathrm{Q}^{4} \mathrm{NH}_{2} 37^{5 b}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.82 mmol ), LTA ( $0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HMDS ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 1.63$ mmol ) and $\beta$-dichloromethylstyrene ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane $\left(3 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. Trituration of the oily product with light petroleum gave major aziridine $\mathbf{4 1}$ diastereoisomer as a colourless solid ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \%$ ) mp 163-165 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (from ethanol). (Found: C, 64.0; H, 5.9; N, 9.8. $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 64.2$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.85 ; \mathrm{N}, 9.75 \%) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 0.28\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHBu}^{\mathrm{t}}\right), 0.72(9 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Bu}^{\prime}\right), 2.90\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHBu}{ }^{\prime}\right), 3.91(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 5.3$, azir. $\mathrm{H}-2), 4.28$ ( 1 H , dd, $J 5.3$ and 3.5 , azir. $\mathrm{H}-3$ ), $6.39(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J 3.5$, $\mathrm{CHCl} 2), 6.83-7.50[8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6-\mathrm{H}, 7-\mathrm{H}, 8-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})$ and $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Ph})]$ and $8.07[1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J 8.2$ and $1.6,5-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{Q})] ; v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1665 \mathrm{~s}$ and 1590 s ; $m / z(\%) 429\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 347(38), 346$ (100), 174 (22), 117 (21) and 116 (23). Observable signals from the minor diastereoisomer of aziridine $\mathbf{4 1}$ were present in the NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture at $\delta 2.62\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J 6.6, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHBu}^{\prime}\right), 3.81(1 \mathrm{H}$, d, $J 5.3$, azir. H-2) and 4.68 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, azir. H-3). From the NMR spectrum of the crude product, the ratio of major : minor diastereoisomers of aziridine 41 is $3.5: 1$ from integration of signals at $\delta 3.91$ and 3.81 ppm .

## Crystal structure determination

Crystal data for $40 . \dagger \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{ClN}_{3} \mathrm{O}, M=395.92$, monoclinic, space group $P 2_{1} / c, a=9.750(9), b=12.881(14), c=16.715(9) \AA$, $\beta=95.70(6)^{\circ}, V=2089(3) \AA^{3}, T=200 \mathrm{~K}, Z=4, \mu(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha)=$ $0.201 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ colourless block, crystal dimensions $0.56 \times 0.53 \times$ 0.43 mm . Full matrix least squares based on $F^{2}$ gave $R_{1}=0.056$ for 2156 observed data $(F>4 \sigma(F))$ and $w R_{2}=0.237$ for all 2863 data, $\mathrm{GOF}=1.072$ for 257 parameters.
$\dagger$ CCDC reference number 169151. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/ $\mathrm{p} 2 / \mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b} 107327 \mathrm{n} /$ for crystallographic files in .cif or other electronic format.

Data were measured on a Siemens P4 diffractometer with graphite monochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.7107 \AA$ ) using an $\omega$ scan technique. Three standard reflections monitored every 100 scans showed no significant variation in intensity, the reflections were corrected for Lorentz and polarisation effects.

The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares on $F^{2}$ using the program SHELXTL. ${ }^{15}$ All hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ $=0.96$ Å) using a riding model. All atoms were refined using anisotropic displacement parameters.
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